

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/562,686	Applicant(s) CAUPAIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Pascal M. Bui-Pho	Art Unit 2878	

All Participants:

(1) Pascal M. Bui-Pho.

(2) Kenneth M. Berner (Reg. No. 37,093).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:


Monoi (US 6,153,874) and Sayag et al. (US 5,510,623)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner recommended the incorporation of all the limitations found in Claim 11 into independent Claim 9 to place application in condition for allowance via Examiner's amendment. The amendment was not authorized by Applicant representative.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)